Judicial Effectiveness Index (JEI BiH)

Weights of Dimension within Overall JEI-BiH	DIMENSION		SUB-DIMENSION: Weights of Sub-Dimensions within Dimension	SUB-DIMENSION: Weights of Sub-Dimension within Overall JEI-BiH		SUB-DIMENSIONS	Data Source (HJPC Administrative Data, NS-National Survey of Citizens' Perceptions, SJP-Survey of Judges and Prosecutors)	GROUPS OF INDICATORS/INDICATORS: Weights of Groups of Indicators within Sub-Dimension	Max JEI-BiH Points for Groups of Indicators, on 0-100 Scale		GROUPS OF INDICATORS	Weights of Individual Indicators within Group of Indicators	Max JEI-BiH Points for Indicators, on 0-100 Scale		INDICATORS	Weights of Sub-Indicators within Indicators	Max JEI-BiH Points for Sub-Indicators within Indicators, on 0-100 Scale		SUB-INDICATORS	ACTUAL VALUE OF INDICATORS: 2012	2013	2014	2015	ACTUAL VALUES SCALED TO INDEX, on 0 -100 scale: 0 Points	50 points	100 points	JEI-BiH 2015 POINTS, on 0-100 scale	POINTS IN OVERALL JEI-BiH 2015
1			(2)	(3) = (1)*(2)				(4)	(5) = (3)*(4)			(6)	(7) = (5)*(6)			(8)	(9) = (7)*(8)			(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)= (17)*(1)*(2)*(4)*(6)*(8)
25%	1.	EFFICIENCY	8%	1.92%	1.1.	Courts: Duration of Resolved Cases	HJPC	50%	0.96	1.1.1.	1st instance courts	20%	0.19	1.1.1.1.	Criminal ("K")					378	375	343	314	730	365	0	57.03	0.11
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.1.1.2.	Civil ("P")					666	622	527	447	1,210	605	0	63.06	0.12
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.1.1.3.	Commercial ("Ps")					582	560	530	522	1,115	557	0	53.18	0.10
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.1.1.4.	Administrative ("U")					350	408	412	417	780	390	0	46.49	0.09
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.1.1.5.	Enforcement	50%	0.10	1.1.1.5.1.	Civil ("I")	818	821	715	634	1,569	784	0	59.58	0.06
							HJPC									50%	0.10	1.1.1.5.2.	Commercial ("Ip")	869	909	699	585	1,652	826	0	64.61	0.06
							HJPC	50%	0.96	1.1.2.	2nd instance courts	25%	0.24	1.1.2.1.	Criminal Appeal ("Kz")					72	76	80	75	152	76	0	50.41	0.12
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.1.2.2.	Civil Appeal ("Gz")					305	330	311	390	631	315	0	38.22	0.09
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.1.2.3.	Commercial Appeal ("Ps")					327	335	289	346	635	317	0	45.54	0.11
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.1.2.4.	Administrative Appeal ("Uz")					325	264	282	393	580	290	0	32.36	0.08
			8%	1.92%	1.2.	Courts: Age of Unresolved Cases	HJPC	50%	0.96	1.2.1.	1st instance courts	20%	0.19	1.2.1.1.	Criminal ("K")					569	521	516	505	1,071	535	0	52.84	0.10
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.2.1.2.	Civil ("P")					648	532	444	401	1,083	541	0	62.96	0.12
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.2.1.3.	Commercial ("Ps")					594	541	522	464	1,105	552	0	58.03	0.11
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.2.1.4.	Administrative ("U")					367	335	342	387	696	348	0	44.46	0.09
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.2.1.5.	Enforcement	50%	0.10	1.2.1.5.1.	Civil ("I")	798	720	677	579	1,463	732	0	60.45	0.06
							HJPC									50%	0.10	1.2.1.5.2.	Commercial ("Ip")	954	736	649	593	1,559	779	0	61.95	0.06
							HJPC	50%	0.96	1.2.2.	2nd instance courts	25%	0.24	1.2.2.1.	Criminal Appeal ("Kz")					109	94	137	220	227	114	0	3.37	0.01
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.2.2.2.	Civil Appeal ("Gz")					410	424	468	480	868	434	0	44.75	0.11
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.2.2.3.	Commercial Appeal ("Ps")					456	470	513	571	959	479	0	40.41	0.10
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.2.2.4.	Administrative Appeal ("Uz")					206	223	364	480	529	264	0	9.16	0.02
			8%	1.92%	1.3.	Courts: Quantity of Unresolved Cases	HJPC	50%	0.96	1.3.1.	1st instance courts	20%	0.19	1.3.1.1.	Criminal ("K")					12,567	11,871	10,598	10,080	23,357	11,679	0	56.84	0.11
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.3.1.2.	Civil ("P")					44,007	38,271	34,352	32,367	77,753	38,877	0	58.37	0.11
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.3.1.3.	Commercial ("Ps")					12,007	10,963	9,165	7,225	21,423	10,712	0	66.28	0.13
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.3.1.4.	Administrative ("U")					10,447	12,488	13,535	12,710	24,313	12,157	0	47.72	0.09
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.3.1.5.	Enforcement	33%	0.06	1.3.1.5.1.	Civil ("I")	126,339	117,758	98,727	84,637	228,549	114,275	0	62.97	0.04
							HJPC									33%	0.06	1.3.1.5.2.	Commercial ("Ip")	23,857	21,764	19,212	16,740	43,222	21,611	0	61.27	0.04
							HJPC									33%	0.06	1.3.1.5.3.	Utility ("Kom")	1,664,328	1,709,000	1,574,517	/	3,298,563	1,649,282	0	52.27	0.03
							HJPC	50%	0.96	1.3.2.	2nd instance courts	25%	0.24	1.3.2.1.	Criminal Appeal ("Kz")					866	894	1,275	1,753	2,023	1,012	0	13.36	0.03
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.3.2.2.	Civil Appeal ("Gz")					13,293	13,685	14,682	14,761	27,773	13,887	0	46.85	0.11
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.3.2.3.	Commercial Appeal ("Ps")					3,126	3,228	3,911	4,403	6,843	3,422	0	35.66	0.09
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.3.2.4.	Administrative Appeal ("Uz")					1,119	2,216	2,892	3,643	4,151	2,076	0	12.25	0.03
			8%	1.92%	1.4.	Courts: Clearance Rates	HJPC	50%	0.96	1.4.1.	1st instance courts	20%	0.19	1.4.1.1.	Criminal ("K")					118%	105%	110%	104%	0%		150%	69.42	0.13
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.4.1.2.	Civil ("P")					123%	118%	113%	106%	0%		150%	71.00	0.14
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.4.1.3.	Commercial ("Ps")					118%	112%	125%	130%	0%		150%	86.34	0.17
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.4.1.4.	Administrative ("U")					98%	83%	91%	108%	0%		150%	72.04	0.14
							HJPC					20%	0.19	1.4.1.5.	Enforcement	33%	0.06	1.4.1.5.1.	Civil ("I")	103%	113%	131%	121%	0%		150%	80.69	0.05
							HJPC									33%	0.06	1.4.1.5.2.	Commercial ("Ip")	106%	114%	119%	119%	0%		150%	79.18	0.05
							HJPC									33%	0.06	1.4.1.5.3.	Utility ("Kom")	79%	88%	97%	/	0%		150%	64.37	0.04
							HJPC	50%	0.96	1.4.2.	2nd instance courts	25%	0.24	1.4.2.1.	Criminal Appeal ("Kz")					98%	99%	92%	91%	0%		150%	61.43	0.15
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.4.2.2.	Civil Appeal ("Gz")					91%	97%	93%	99%	0%		150%	66.28	0.16
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.4.2.3.	Commercial Appeal ("Ps")					98%	97%	81%	86%	0%		150%	57.24	0.14
							HJPC					25%	0.24	1.4.2.4.	Administrative Appeal ("Uz")					114%	53%	66%	63%	0%		150%	41.91	0.10
			8%	1.92%	1.5.	POs: Duration of Resolved Cases	HJPC	100%	1.92	1.5.1.	1st instance	33%	0.64	1.5.1.1	General Crime					366	412	371	396	766	383	0	48.26	0.31
							HJPC					33%	0.64	1.5.1.2	Economic Crime	67%	0.43	1.5.1.2.1.	Corruption	1,146	374	481	358	1,334	667	0	73.17	0.31
							HJPC									33%	0.21	1.5.1.2.2.	Other	510	554	602	590	1,111	555	0	46.85	0.10
							HJPC					33%	0.64	1.5.1.3	War Crimes					2,116	1,555	1,330	1,449	3,334	1,667	0	56.55	0.36
			8%	1.92%	1.6.	POs: Age of Unresolved Cases	HJPC	100%	1.92	1.6.1.	1st instance	33%	0.64	1.6.1.1	General Crime					801	702	654	505	1,437	719	0	64.85	0.42
							HJPC					33%	0.64	1.6.1.2	Economic Crime	67%	0.43	1.6.1.2.1.	Corruption	881	849	776	694	1,671	835	0	58.43	0.25
							HJPC									33%	0.21	1.6.1.2.2.	Other	996	978	976	795	1,966	983	0	59.54	0.13
							HJPC					33%	0.64	1.6.1.3	War Crimes					1,897	1,857	1,995	2,013	3,832	1,916	0	47.47	0.30
			8%	1.92%	1.7.	POs: Quantity of Unresolved Cases	HJPC	100%	1.92	1.7.1.	1st instance	33%	0.64	1.7.1.1	General Crime					21,702	20,749	18,517	12,352	40,645	20,323	0	69.61	0.45
							HJPC					33%	0.64	1.7.1.2	Economic Crime	67%	0.43	1.7.1.2.1.	Corruption	501	786	907	1,005	1,463	731	0	31.29	0.13
							HJPC									33%	0.21	1.7.1.2.2.	Other	2,511	2,281	1,831	1,595	4,415	2,208	0	63.88	0.14
							HJPC					33%	0.64	1.7.1.3	War Crimes					1,277	1,222	1,075	1,000	2,383	1,191	0	58.03	0.37
			8%	1.92%	1.8.	POs: Clearance Rates	HJPC	100%	1.92	1.8.1.	1st instance	33%	0.64	1.8.1.1	General Crime					103%	104%	109%	127%	0%		150%	84.74	0.54
							HJPC					33%	0.64	1.8.1.2	Economic Crime	67%	0.43	1.8.1.2.1.	Corruption			83%	91%	0%		150%	60.93	0.26
							HJPC									33%	0.21	1.8.1.2.2.	Other	80%	112%	128%	114%	0%		150%	75.90	0.16
							HJPC					33%	0.64	1.8.1.3	War Crimes					75%	116%	154%	126%	0%		150%	84.03	0.54
			8%	1.92%	1.9.	Collective Quota - Judges	HJPC	100%	1.92	1.9.1.	Norm %									133%	122%	126%	/	0%		150%	84.00	1.62
			8%	1.92%	1.10.	Collective Quota - Prosecutors	HJPC	100%	1.92	1.10.1.	Norm %									/	120%	99%	/	0%		150%	66.00	1.27
			8%	1.92%	1.11.	Public Perception of Efficiency of Courts	NS-JS-#Q15	50%	0.96			Do you think the number of unsolved cases, excluding utility cases, is increasing in BiH courts?				Yes; No; I do not know							0.1071				10.71	0.10
							NS-JS-#Q20	50%	0.96			Which comes closest to your opinion?				"Courts decide on cases in reasonable time periods"; "It takes too long for courts to decide on cases"; I do not know							0.0915				9.15	0.09
			8%	1.92%	1.12.	Professional Opinion on Efficiency of Courts	SJP#1	50%	0.96			Do you think the number of unsolved cases, excluding utility cases, is increasing in BiH courts?				Yes; No; I do not know							0.6116				61.16	0.59
							SJP#3	50%	0.96			Which comes closest to your opinion?				"Courts decide on cases in reasonable time periods"; "It takes too long for courts to decide on cases"; I do not know							0.5929				59.29	0.57
			8%	1.92%	1.13.	Professional Opinion on Efficiency of POs	SJP#2	50%	0.96			Do you think the number of unsolved cases is increasing in BiH PO's?				Yes; No; I do not know							0.5511				55.11	0.53
							SJP#4	50%	0.96			Which comes closest to your opinion:				"PO's decide on cases in reasonable time periods"; "It takes too long for Prosecutors to decide on cases"; I do not know							0.4700				47.00	0.45
			100%	25%					25.00																		Sub-Total (Points):		13.78
25%	2.	QUALITY	25%	6.25%	2.1.	Confirmation rate of 1st Instance Decisions	HJPC	33%	2.08	2.1.1.	Criminal Cases (Kz/K)									90%	96%	87%	/	0%		100%	86.78	1.81
							HJPC	33%	2.08	2.1.2.	Civil Cases (Gz/P)									88%	96%	89%	/	0%		100%	88.57	1.85
							HJPC	33%	2.08	2.1.3.	Commercial Cases (Pz/Ps)									86%	97%	89%	/	0%		100%	88.89	1.85
			25%	6.25%	2.2.	Success of indictments	HJPC	100%	6.25	2.2.1.	Rate of final condemnations in relation to the total number of filed indictments									/	92%	91%	/	0%		150%	60.67	3.79
			10%	2.50%	2.3.	Perception of quality of Courts	NS-JS-#Q13A	50%	1.25			On a scale from 1 to 7, where '1' is 'extremely poor' and '7' is 'excellent', how would you rate the work of: A. Judges/Courts				Number 1-7							0.3546				35.46	0.44
							SJP#5A	50%	1.25			On a scale from 1 to 7, where '1' is 'extremely poor' and '7' is 'excellent', how would you rate the work of: A. Judges/Courts											0.6552				65.52	0.82
			10%	2.50%	2.4.	Perception of quality of Prosecutor Offices	NS-JS-#Q13B	50%	1.25			On a scale from 1 to 7, where '1' is 'extremely poor' and '7' is 'excellent', how would you rate the work of: B. Prosecutors/Prosecutor Offices				Number 1-7							0.3593				35.93	0.45
							SJP#5B	50%	1.25			On a scale from 1 to 7, where '1' is 'extremely poor' and '7' is 'excellent', how would you rate the work of: B. Prosecutors/Prosecutor Offices											0.5432				54.32	0.68
			10%	2.50%	2.5.	Perception of quality of Attorneys	NS-JS-#Q13C	50%	1.25			On a scale from 1 to 7, where '1' is 'extremely poor' and '7' is 'excellent', how would you rate the work of: C. Attorneys				Number 1-7							0.4068				40.68	0.51
							SJP#5C	50%	1.25			On a scale from 1 to 7, where '1' is 'extremely poor' and '7' is 'excellent', how would you rate the work of: C. Attorneys											0.4461				44.61	0.56
			10%	2.50%	2.6.	Perception of quality of Notaries	NS-JS-#Q13D	50%	1.25			On a scale from 1 to 7, where '1' is 'extremely poor' and '7' is 'excellent', how would you rate the work of: D. Notaries				Number 1-7							0.4404				44.04	0.55
							SJP#5D	50%	1.25			On a scale from 1 to 7, where '1' is 'extremely poor' and '7' is 'excellent', how would you rate the work of: D. Notaries											0.5288				52.88	0.66
			10%	2.50%	2.7.	Public Satisfaction with Court and Prosecutor Administrative Services	NS-#Q2DD	100%	2.50			How satisfied are you with each of the following services in your area in the last 12 months: DD. Courts' or Prosecutor Offices' administrative services?				Completely satisfied; Mostly satisfied; Somewhat satisfied; Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied; Somehow dissatisfied; Mostly dissatisfied; Completely dissatisfied; Didn't use this service in the last 12 months; This service is not available to me							0.4020				40.20	1.00
			100%	25%					25.00																		Sub-Total (Points):		14.97
20%	3.	ACCOUNTABILITY & TRANSPARENCY	6%	1.25%	3.1.	Performance Monitoring System of Judges/Prosecutors	SJP#6A	50%	0.63			Do you agree that there is a fact-based and transparent system of monitoring work performances of Judges				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.6212				62.12	0.39
							SJP#6B	50%	0.63			Do you agree that there is a fact-based and transparent system of monitoring work performances of Prosecutors											0.5693				56.93	0.36
			6%	1.25%	3.2.	Supervision of Inadequate Performance of Judges/Prosecutors	NS-#Q12: H	25%	0.31			How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements: H. Judges' poor performance is sanctioned				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.3264				32.64	0.10
							NS-#Q12: I	25%	0.31			How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements: I. Prosecutors' good performance is rewarded											0.4724				47.24	0.15
							SJP#7A	25%	0.31			Do you agree that observation of poor work performances of a Judge by a competent supervisor usually results in undertaking of an adequate measure or sanction:				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.4941				49.41	0.15
							SJP#7B	25%	0.31			Do you agree that observation of very good work performances of a Prosecutor by a competent supervisor usually results in an adequate award:											0.3944				39.44	0.12
			25%	5.00%	3.3.	Disciplinary Procedures	HJPC	25%	1.25	3.3.1.	Ratio of Initiated-Disciplinary-Proceedings to Found-Responsible									110%	94%	94%	80.0%	0%		150%	53.33	0.67
							SJP#8A	25%	1.25			Do you agree that disciplinary procedures against Judges/Prosecutors are initiated in all cases prescribed by the law?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.5665				56.65	0.71
							SJP#8B	25%	1.25			Do you agree that disciplinary procedures against Judges/Prosecutors, once initiated, are fair and objective?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.5802				58.02	0.73
							SJP#9	25%	1.25			Disciplinary sanctions rendered in the disciplinary proceedings are:				Too lenient; Appropriate; Too severe; I do not know							0.6044				60.44	0.76
			6%	1.25%	3.4.	Random Case Assignment	NS-JS-#Q21	50%	0.63			Do you think it is possible to get someone's preferred judge to adjudicate his/her case?				Never; Rarely; Sometimes; Often; Always; I do not know							0.4738				47.38	0.30
							SJP#10	50%	0.63			Do you think it is possible to get someone's preferred judge to adjudicate his/her case?											0.7159				71.59	0.45
			6%	1.25%	3.5.	Access to Case Files	NS-JS-#Q14A	50%	0.63			How often do you think citizens are allowed to: A. Check their court case file if they wanted to do so				Never; Rarely; Sometimes; Often; Always; I do not know							0.3600				36.00	0.22
							SJP#11A	50%	0.63			Access to case files to parties in the case and their legal representatives is fully and timely granted:											0.9311				93.11	0.58
			6%	1.25%	3.6.	Access to Hearings	NS-JS-#Q14B	50%	0.63			How often do you think citizens are allowed to: B. Participate in any court hearing of their interest if they wanted to do so				Never; Rarely; Sometimes; Often; Always; I do not know							0.2883				28.83	0.18
							SJP#11B	50%	0.63			The public is granted access to public court hearings:											0.9252				92.52	0.58
			6%	1.25%	3.7.	Access to Judgments	NS-JS-Q#14C	50%	0.63			How often do you think citizens are allowed to: C. Review a judgment of their interest if they wanted to do so				Never; Rarely; Sometimes; Often; Always; I do not know							0.2482				24.82	0.16
							SJP#11C	50%	0.63			The public can access final judgments (in their original form, after removal of personal data, or in any other form)?											0.8235				82.35	0.51
			6%	1.25%	3.8.	Access to Evidence	SJP#11D	100%	1.25			Access to all evidences after confirmation of indictment is fully and timely granted to accuesed and his/her legal representative				Never; Rarely; Sometimes; Often; Always; I do not know							0.9349				93.49	1.17
			6%	1.25%	3.9.	Access to Reports/Statistics	NS-JS-#Q14D	50%	0.63			How often do you think citizens are allowed to: D. Get reports/statistics on the work of courts if they wanted to do so				Never; Rarely; Sometimes; Often; Always; I do not know							0.2278				22.78	0.14
							SJP#11E	50%	0.63			Do you have access to courts' and/or prosecutor offices' reports/statistics of your interest?											0.7246				72.46	0.45
			6%	1.25%	3.10.	Media Reporting	NS-JS-#Q17	50%	0.63			In your opinion, how often are court cases and investigations selected and presented objectively by the media?				Never; Rarely; Sometimes; Often; Always; I do not know							0.4128				41.28	0.26
							SJP#12	50%	0.63			In your opinion, how often are court cases and investigations selected and presented objectively by the media?											0.3347				33.47	0.21
			6%	1.25%	3.11.	Affordability of Court Fees/Taxes	NS-JS-#Q19	50%	0.63			In your opinion, court taxes/fees are:				Low; Adequate; High; I do not know							0.1017				10.17	0.06
							SJP#13	50%	0.63			In your opinion, court taxes/fees are:											0.5247				52.47	0.33
			6%	1.25%	3.12.	Absenteeism of Judges/Prosecutors	SJP#14	100%	1.25			Do you agree that judges and prosecutors abuse their right to be absent from work?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.7903				79.03	0.99
			6%	1.25%	3.13.	Code of Ethics	SJP#15	100%	1.25			Do you agree that Judges and Prosecutors act in accordance with the Code of Ethics?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.7628				76.28	0.95
			100%	20%					20.00																		Sub-Total (Points):		11.67
15%	4.	CAPACITY & RESOURCES	8%	1.25%	4.1.	Speed of Appointing Judges/Prosecutors	SJP#16	100%	1.25			Do you agree that appointment of a judge/prosecutor for a newly available position is efficient?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.4660				46.60	0.58
			8%	1.25%	4.2.	Competence of Judges/Prosecutors	NS-JS-#Q16	50%	0.63			Do you agree that appointments of Judges and Prosecutors are competence-based?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.4735				47.35	0.30
							SJP#17	50%	0.63			Do you agree that appointments of Judges and Prosecutors are competence-based?											0.4868				48.68	0.30
			8%	1.25%	4.3.	Adequacy of Judges/Prosecutors' Training/Education	SJP#18	100%	1.25			Do you agree that judges and prosecutors receive adequate training/education on annual basis?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.6611				66.11	0.83
			8%	1.25%	4.4.	Adequacy of Judges/Prosecutors' Salaries	NS-JS-#Q22	50%	0.63			In your opinion, salaries of judges and prosecutors are:				Low; Adequate; High; I do not know							0.1081				10.81	0.07
							SJP#19	50%	0.63			In your opinion, salaries of judges and prosecutors are:											0.4270				42.70	0.27
			8%	1.25%	4.5.	Adequacy of Attorneys/Notaries' Compensation	NS-JS-#Q23	50%	0.63			In your opinion, fees of attorneys and notaries are:				Low; Adequate; High; I do not know							0.1116				11.16	0.07
							SJP#20	50%	0.63			In your opinion, fees of attorneys and notaries are:											0.2566				25.66	0.16
			8%	1.25%	4.6.	Timeliness of Judges/Prosecutors' Salaries	SJP#21	100%	1.25			Are salaries of Judges/Prosecutors paid on time?				Never; Rarely; Sometimes; Often; Always; I do not know							0.5993				59.93	0.75
			8%	1.25%	4.7.	Timeliness of Compensations of Attorneys by Courts (for ex-officio defense)	SJP#22	100%	1.25			Are Defense Councils' fees/expenses paid on time?				Never; Rarely; Sometimes; Often; Always; I do not know							0.3800				38.00	0.48
			8%	1.25%	4.8.	Adequacy of the Support Staff	SJP#23	100%	1.25			Do you agree that current administrative/support staff in courts/prosecutor offices is competent?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.6001				60.01	0.75
			8%	1.25%	4.9.	Adequacy of the Budget for Operations	SJP#24	100%	1.25			Do you agree that the budget allocated to courts/prosecutor offices is sufficient?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.2534				25.34	0.32
			8%	1.25%	4.10.	Adequacy of Facilities	SJP#25	100%	1.25			Do you agree that courts/prosecutor offices are situated in adequate buildings/facilities and have enough space for their work?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.3794				37.94	0.47
			8%	1.25%	4.11.	Adequacy of IT Support	SJP#26	100%	1.25			Do you agree that Courts/Prosecutor Offices have necessary IT equipment and support?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.6898				68.98	0.86
			8%	1.25%	4.12.	System/Mechanisms to Meet Dynamic Changes (Increase/Decrease) in Case Inflow	SJP#27	100%	1.25			Do you agree that courts/prosecutor offices are provided with adequate procedures and resources to cope with significant and abrupt changes in case inflow, if they occur?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.4833				48.33	0.60
			100%	15%					15.00																		Sub-Total (Points):		6.81
15%	5.	INDEPENDENCE & IMPARTIALITY	14%	2.14%	5.1.	Objectivity of Career Advancement Criteria for Judges/Prosecutors	SJP#28	100%	2.14			Do you agree that criteria for career advancement of judges and prosecutors are objective, adequate, and applied in practice?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Disagree; Strongly Disagree; I do not know							0.3747				37.47	0.80
			14%	2.14%	5.2.	Judges/Prosecutors' Professional Immunity/Tenure	SJP#29	100%	2.14			Do you agree that immunity and tenure of judges and prosecutors is adequately prescribed by the law and applied in practice?				Strongly Agree; Agree; Somewhat agree; Neither agree nor disagree; Somewhat disagree; Dis